Learning via Summarizing Infographics Assignment in Software Engineering Management e-Course?
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Abstract—This Research-to-Practice, Work in Progress Paper focuses on how learners experience creation of infographics as a summarizing assignment in an advanced level e-course on software engineering management (SEM). We have previously investigated how learners perceive infographics as a repeated reflection assignment during a requirements engineering e-course. To complement this research project, we studied how learners experience the use of infographics as a method for summarizing a whole software engineering management course in e-education setting. The SEM course participants (N=36) found that infographics as a summarizing assignment required complex learning that was altogether deemed highly valuable. Participants reported on a good focus on learning tasks and learning of new skills. The participants who were in working life already found the infographics creation relevant in the light of their work (cf. authenticity). In sum, the creation of summarizing infographics appeared to yield in-depth learning with references to authenticity. The results encourage more research on the topic in computing and engineering education courses.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The focus of the paper is on how learners experience use of infographics as a method for summarizing assignment in an e-course on software engineering management (SEM). Infographics are visual representations of information, data or knowledge. An effective infographic highlights a lot of data, is focused on relevant and interesting topics, is simple to understand, is creative and cites its data. An infographic communicates complex information in a succinct manner [1, p. 3]. The main types include statistical, time-series, process, narrative, metaphor, comparison, hierarchical, and networks [2, 3]. Infographics have also been categorized into static, interactive, and video infographics [4].

Learners tend to experience infographics in education positively [1, 2, 3, 4]. We have previously investigated learners’ view of infographics in a requirements engineering e-course [13]. Volunteered students were asked to prepare an infographic three times during the course. The experiences of these students were highly positive, encouraging a more extensive use of infographics (cf. research to practice) and subsequently the preparation of the present study. In the present study, we investigated how learners experienced use of infographics as a method for summarizing a whole software engineering management course in e-Education setting.

Compared to the previous study with only five volunteered participants, a larger population of 36 case learners was recruited. This work complements findings on students’ experiences but we argue that it is useful to collect students’ experiences of infographics assignments in different computing contexts, as we continue to do here. Infographics are arguably not a mainstream assignment mode in computing education, and this agenda will allow the development of a sufficient understanding of infographics assignments in a computing curriculum (programming courses, capstones, etc.).

A set of questions exploring if and how preparing of infographics supported learning and how this approach compared to other learning assignments was issued to students. A thematic analysis was applied to the data received: a thematic network analysis that describes perspectives in the data at three different levels [5] produced two global (highest abstraction level), seven organizational (mid-level), and 60 basic themes (lowest level). In addition, the e-course supervisor (second author) evaluated the learners’ infographics. The main focus of this paper is the students’ experience of the assignment but a summary of the supervisor’s observations is included. The results are discussed in relation to the previous study [14] and future work is proposed. Section II summarizes infographics in education. The context and the method are described in Section III. Preliminary findings are presented in Section IV. Conclusions and suggestions for future work follow in Section V.

II. INFOGRAPHICS IN EDUCATION

Infographics (information graphics) utilize visual signals for information communication and can vary from simple to complex representations; there is no threshold for something to be termed an infographic [6]. Educational literature seems to emphasize extensive use of visual information in the current age, and links infographics together with visual literacy to this prospect. Learners typically value infographics due to learning and enjoyment [7, 8]. An infographic can serve as learning material (e.g. [7]) or students can create them (e.g. [9]). The present research interest concerns creating infographics as a learning assignment. Creating infographics asks students to integrate subject area knowledge with skills that are needed for creation (see, [10]). Inquiry learning (e.g., explorative student projects) can be identified as one common setting of creating infographics (e.g., [9, 11]). The study by Gebre and Polman [10] echoes the present interest because it calls attention to use of abstraction (good learning). Gebre and Polman utilized
infographics in secondary level science education. They observed learners’ tendency to focus on depictive, iconic representations. The conclusion was that learners should be educated about how to produce presentations with abstraction. Another study by the same authors [12] investigated opinions of experts, so as to inform STEM field education of the key attributes in communicating about science. When evaluating given infographics, experts emphasized purpose, content, and representation. Furthermore, we have previously investigated learners’ views in a requirements engineering course with encouraging results [14]. Infographics were used as reflective assignments at three points during the course, and were well received by volunteered participants. The results revealed learners’ experiences of improved concentration on learning tasks and personalization of learning. These studies [10, 12, 14] encouraged more research on the topic; in the present study, we explore learners’ perceptions about creating summarizing infographics at the end of a large course.

III. STUDY CONTEXT

The target course implemented in Moodle was an advanced level e-course in SEM. This course highlights typical challenges in software engineering projects. The students learn to apply basic practices to planning and managing a software project. They will be able to analyze and identify the process model of a software project, and understand the difference between a traditional and an agile approach. The students will also learn to understand the connections of a software project to the quality system and to the process assessment and improvement. Assignments are submitted to Moodle according to the pedagogical script of the course. The supervisor monitors learners’ progress and evaluates their assignments. The course consists of eight weekly assignments. The last assignment is a summarization of the whole course in a single infographic.

The research aim was to explore the applicability of infographics to such a summarizing assignment, while offering learners a new way to recapitulate and summarize the contents of the course. As creating infographics and answering to the related semi-structured questionnaire (see Table 1) were a mandatory course component, the response rate was 100 % with N=36 participants. The learners came from Finland, Germany, France, and Italy. All students studied in engineering and computing fields. Majority of them (70 %) was already in working life and the rest were basic learners. Half of the learners had not used infographics before. The other half was familiar with the concept of infographics and had also experiences in preparing them. As learners were taking IT courses, all participants could be judged to possess sufficient technical abilities to learn to use infographics tools. The course language was English. The study was carried out during the spring 2019. Using a semi-structured questionnaire approach (summarized in Table 1), the experiences of 36 learners - from now on participants - were collected, transcribed, and analyzed.

Thematic networks analysis [5, 13, 14] was used for analyzing qualitative data. The analysis was data-driven; the result categories emerged from the data. The method collects relevant parts of the textual data and organizes the perspectives in these data into network diagrams.

### TABLE I. SEMI-STRUCTURED QUESTIONNAIRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| How would you describe your overall experiences with using infographics in the last assignment of the e-course? | - What resources and/or tools were helpful to you when you created your infographics?  
- What guidelines would you like to give for future learners when they create infographics for this course?  
- What would you like to share about your experiences in using infographics as study outcome?  
- How would you like to develop using infographics in this course? Please, give some practical examples. |
| Did infographics support your learning process? | Please, describe how. |
| Would you recommend using infographics for future learners in this course? Why or why not? | - What would you like to give for future learners when they create infographics for this course?  
- What would you like to share about your experiences in using infographics as study outcome? |
| What would you like to give for future learners when they create infographics for this course? | Please, describe how. |
| What resources and/or tools were helpful to you when you created your infographics? | Please, describe how. |
| What would you like to share about your experiences in using infographics as study outcome? | Please, describe how. |
| How would you like to develop using infographics in this course? Please, give some practical examples. | Please, describe how. |

Thematic network analysis proposes three classes of themes. (1) Basic Themes (small rectangles inside dotted lines in Figures 1 and 2) are simple, lowest-order themes pinpointed in the data, and say very little on their own. By the identification of similarities and differences, basic theme began to relate to each other and form organizing themes. (2) Organizing Themes (ellipses in Figures 1 and 2), as middle-order themes, assemble basic themes into clusters forming an argument or position about the situation. (3) Global Themes (rectangles in the middle of Figures 1 and 2) filter organizing themes into one insight that summarizes a comprehensive issue. Global Themes convey what the texts as a whole are about within the context of a given analysis.

All 36 learners were called to participate in the study. They were allowed to write down their answers to the semi-structured questionnaire. The data received were transcribed and analyzed by the first author. A total of 145 samples from the transcribed data were filtered out and basic themes derived from these samples. The basic themes were grouped into clusters forming organizing themes, which were further summarized into two global themes. Thus, the analysis produced two thematic networks through a data-driven analysis. These thematizations were reviewed in a shared session by the first author and the second author (SEM course supervisor), which resulted in a few modifications on how themes were labeled. Moreover, the supervisor of the course evaluated all 36 infographics created. A summary of the supervisor’s observations is included in the results.

IV. FINDINGS

The thematic networks analysis produced two global, seven organizing, and 60 basic themes (emphasized in italics font below). The two global themes were named “In-depth learning” and “Multiplicative effect”. In-depth learning refers...
to deep understanding in SEM due to being required to create a summarizing infographic of the whole large e-course, as was reported by the participants. Multiplicative effect concerns topics related to taking advantage of infographics in other contexts or courses, new skills and rewarding experiences reported by the participants.

A. In-Depth Learning

A thematic network titled In-depth learning (Figure 1) shows the different organizational and basic themes of in-depth learning in the participants’ views. All participants reported experiences of repetition is the mother of study. They felt that the last task helped them to assimilate and memorize the course content. They said that they had to really think what this subject is about. This helped them to reconstruct the e-course material and to understand the wholeness of SEM, they reported. Participants reported that they had to re-read the whole e-course material carefully before constructing the summarizing infographics as a last assignment. Only then, they said, they were able to decide what is essential. A few participants reported also of layered distillation i.e. they told that they saw three convergent layers in the e-course: a layer of lectures, a layer of weekly assignments, and a layer of summarizing infographics.

Fig. 1. Thematic network for global theme “In-depth learning”.

All participants shared the feeling of keeping the focus when they were processing their summarizing infographics assignment. They reported that they had to carefully think through learned issues and they had to focus on the subject. (Authors’ comment: focusing is an important skill in today’s information society where there are so many information sources imposing on persons and disturbing their ability to concentrate). The participants felt it was easier to avoid deviations when focusing on essentials – avoiding gibberish. They said that the infographics should include only the main ideas of the course, and, therefore, that the topics need to be encapsulated. Some participants reported that it was hard to see the main points. They had to go over the e-course material and thus deepen their knowledge. They said that distillation needs good understanding of the content.

Issues related to identifying concepts and their relationships occurred in every participants’ answers. Participants reported that it is not only important to identify the main concepts but also the relationships. They emphasized the connections between the concepts. Participants also highlighted different types of connections between SEM concepts – relationships’ semantics. (Authors’ comment: Relationships’ semantics can include for example types of SEM process, roles in SEM processes, timing in SEM, constraints in SEM etc.). The majority of participants reported that understanding of the SEM concepts and relationships supported their impression of global view in SEM field and SEM conceptualization. In this context, some participants reported that they felt their memory trace got stronger during the SEM abstraction process. Although the importance of SEM conceptualization was emphasized by the majority of participants, some reported that it was easy to find the main points, but hard to see the relationships. Majority of the participants brought out that creating infographics was a good means for effective understanding of SEM essentials and for identification and linking relationships.

B. Multiplicative effect

The second global theme related to multiplicative effect, in other words, it concerned topics related to usage of infographics in other future contexts. Network in displayed in Figure 2. Related to rewarding experience, the participants highlighted that graphic design was fun. They felt happiness and they thought that it was great to synthesize the whole, large e-course material. On the one hand, the infographics experience was something different from writing learning diaries or essays and it was enriching, they said. On the other hand, it was time-consuming and it required patience, they reported. Some participants told that it was hard to split the e-course material into small pieces.

All participants reported experiences related to visualization. Participants said that they learn with their eyes and that it is easy to remember when you visualize your thinking, and re-structure the e-course in your mind. This experience made learning easier and made complex concepts more accessible, they said. Participants felt that visualization process from different viewpoints (i.e. creating different types of infographics) of the whole material showed important perspectives of the SEM field. Participants, especially those who were already in working life, emphasized that visualization is a very useful and illustrative tool for SE (Software Engineering) teams because visualization works well with different stakeholders with various backgrounds. However, some participants pointed out that visualization and graphic design may take too much of one’s focus.

Presentation semantics inspired most of the participants. They pointed out that it is practical to keep the infographics clear and simple. In this way, it is possible to compress the data as there is no space in the (A4) infographics to go far from the essential points into details, they reported. Some participants said that they solved the challenge of space management with zooms. They also considered in their answers which type or model of infographics they will choose – statistical, time-series, process, narrative, metaphor, comparison, hierarchical, or networks. Few participants
reported of using metaphors. They applied airport metaphor and Tetris game metaphor to create their SEM abstraction infographics. Several participants highlighted that each visual object should mean something in the infographics presentation. There is no space for decorations, they said. Some participants reported on even a deeper approach. They paid special attention to icon semantics and/or color semantics, i.e., each icon and/or each color can have a specific meaning.

The majority of participants reported that creating infographics assisted them in developing useful skills for working life. (Authors’ comment: These participants took part in the e-course as mature learners—adult education. They are already in working life). They told that when they created infographics they were as if explaining the essentials of SEM to other persons, their colleagues in work. They said that they were thinking how others will see their view of SEM. The majority of participants reported that they will utilize infographics in the future in their working tasks. They reported that they learned design skills, communication skills and synthetizing skills, which all are important for software engineers, as they mentioned. They said they deepened their skills in process, timeline and workflow thinking, when they were designing and producing their infographics. They also highlighted that the decision-making on which topics were important as core issues and which topics were side issues was educational, and confirmed their skills in information filtering.

Participants reported that it was challenging but fun to create infographics. They wished that more courses would use infographics as a summarizing assignment method, and planned to use infographics by themselves. Participants who were already in working life said that they will utilize infographics in their work duties. They also highlighted that they learned new technical and cognitive skills that will be useful in their study and working life. Examples of these skills are graphic design, information filtering, synthetizing, seeing the forest for the trees and identifying essential concepts and relationships between them. They also reported on rewarding experiences related to in-depth learning. Compared to our previous study [14], the present results continue to emphasize student-valued concentration on learning tasks granted by personal creation of infographics (“Keeping the focus”). The results also highlighted that in-depth learning can be supported by using infographics as a summarizing assignment method. Our future work continues to investigates these topics in other computing courses. An interesting side note was that graphical design can, on the other hand, begin to occupy too much. Moreover, the present study, focusing on an end-of-course summarizing assignment, foregrounded that producing a concise infographic out of large contents indicated complex but useful learning. This complexity was less emphasized in our previous study in which students created several infographics during the course. This comparison calls attention in our future work to how infographics are used as part of a pedagogic script. Furthermore, learners who were already integrated in working life considered infographics creation relevant. We conclude that infographics could, therefore, serve as a useful means of “authenticity”. In authentic assessment, instructional approaches preferably reflect real-life, that is, learners’ needs after their graduation [16]. Authenticity could, in effect, provide a framework for a large-scale comparative study on reflection/learning assignments and a framework for infographics evaluation [15] in computing and engineering education courses in the future work.
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